

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,891	06/20/2003	Glynn Alan Spangenberg	030266	5908
23696 7	590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
Qualcomm, NC 5775 Morehouse Drive			HAVAN, THU THAO	
San Diego, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0 ,	•		3624	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MC							
	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	10/600,891	SPANGENBERG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thu Thao Havan	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB	ATION. ply be timely filed (HS from the mailing date of this communical ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July 2005.						
	<u>. </u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3624

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-32 are pending. This action is in response to the amendment received July 11, 2005.

Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims 1-32 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Sellers et al (US 5,311,438) is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed July 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the arguments concerning the previously rejected claims the following comments are made:

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a service business) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Furthermore, Applicant alleges that the prior art made of record fails to teach a business improvement. The examiner disagrees with applicant's representative since Sellers teaches customer services in relation to a manufacturer (col. 147-148; figs. 1a and 106). In other words, Sellers teaches customer services for a manufacturer

Art Unit: 3624

corresponds to a service business. Customer services involve a customer servicing the business. In particular he discloses the detail screens may be used to document the business case for the requested effort. For example, enter customer and prospective marketplace information, existing competitors, reasons for the request, and the detailed end-product specifications as either required or desired by the customer. In addition, he discloses new product development to document the business case for the development and base future decisions on the effort of the information being used.

In addition, Applicant alleges that the prior art made of record fails to teach actual cost. The examiner disagrees with applicant's representative since Sellers teaches cost method (fig. 117). In other words, Sellers teaches the system keeps track of the quantity and cost of the orders being released. Thus, he discloses actual cost.

With regards to the claims rejected as anticipated over Sellers, the examiner would like to point out that the reference teaches the claimed limitations and thus provides adequate support for the claimed limitations. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Sellers anticipated the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,891

Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct-uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH 9/29/2005

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines Mille